

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	Application No. 10/065,546	Applicant(s) NEIMAN ET AL.
	Examiner ERIC C. WAI	Art Unit 2195

All Participants:

- (1) ERIC C. WAI.
- (2) Elizabeth Pietrowski (*Reg No. 52,121*)

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009

Type of Interview:  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

---

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*39-40 and 74-75*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant’s Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner advised Applicant to amend the independent claims by incorporating the subject matter of claims 40 and 75 to clarify the allocation criteria of the independent claims. Applicant agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to place the Application in condition for allowance. .